UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND Northern Division

AUDREY WILSON

*

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. CCB-02CV3341

ST. AGNES HEALTHCARE, INC. ET AL. *

Defendants.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

Defendant St. Agnes HealthCare, by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Motion for Sanctions in accordance with Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Defendant states that the reasons for this Motion are as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition was served on February 11, 2003;
- 2. That Notice identified the date for Plaintiff's deposition as March 11, 2003, at 10:00 a.m. in Defendant's counsel's law offices;
- On March 11, 2003, neither Plaintiff nor her counsel appeared for the deposition nor did the Plaintiff or her counsel notify or communicate in any way with Defendant's counsel as to why Plaintiff failed to appear for her duly noted deposition; and
- 4. At approximately 11:20 a.m. Defendant's counsel adjourned the deposition of the Plaintiff.

For all of the foregoing reasons, as well as those set forth in more detail in the attached Memorandum, Defendant respectfully requests that its Motion for Sanctions be granted and that Plaintiff be directed to pay all reasonable costs, including attorney fees, that Defendant incurred because of Plaintiff's failure to appear at the duly noted deposition.

Dated: March 12, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

KRUCHKO & FRIES

OF COUNSEL:

By: _____/s

Kathleen A. Talty

Federal Bar No.: 022971

KRUCHKO & FRIES Suite 305 600 Washington Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21204 (410) 321-7310

Counsel for Defendant St. Agnes HealthCare, Inc

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND **Northern Division**

AUDREY WILSON

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. CCB-02CV3341 v.

ST. AGNES HEALTHCARE, INC. ET AL. *

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

Defendant, St. Agnes HealthCare, by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for Sanctions in accordance with Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For reasons that are argued more fully below, Defendant submits that its Motion should be granted because Plaintiff failed to appear at her duly noted deposition.

ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(d) allows the court to sanction parties who fail "to appear before the officer who is to take the deposition, after being served with proper notice" These sanctions, outlined at Rule 37(b)(2)(A)-(C), become progressively more severe, ranging from an order establishing certain facts to the entry of a default judgment. The facts in this case fully support the imposition of sanctions, including an award of attorney fees and costs.

In late January, 2003, Defendant's counsel wrote to Plaintiff's counsel, proposing five possible dates in February, 2003 for the Plaintiff's deposition. On February 4, 2003, Plaintiff's counsel advised Defendant's counsel that none of the proposed dates were convenient and requested alternative dates for the Plaintiff's deposition. In response to that letter, Defendant's counsel wrote to Plaintiff's counsel on that same day and presented two dates in late February, 2003 and two dates in early March, 2003 for the Plaintiff's deposition. On February 10th, Plaintiff's counsel advised Defendant's counsel that March 11th "works best for my client and me" for the Plaintiff's deposition. Therefore, on February 11, 2003, Defendant served Plaintiff with a Notice of Deposition, stating that the deposition was to be held on March 11th at 10:00 a.m. in Defendant's counsel's law offices.

Despite the fact that Plaintiff's deposition was duly noted and the actual date of the deposition was the specific one identified by Plaintiff's counsel as the one that "works best for my client and me", neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel appeared for the deposition at the scheduled date and time. Moreover, at no time on March 11th did Plaintiff or her counsel make any attempt to notify Defendant's counsel 1) that they would be delayed or 2) that they would be late for the deposition or 3) that the deposition would need to be rescheduled. Finally, at approximately 11:20 a.m., Defendant adjourned the deposition and advised the court reporter, who had been waiting since before 10:00 a.m., that the Plaintiff's deposition was canceled for that day. The actions of Plaintiff in failing to appear at her deposition are clearly sanctionable under Rule 37.

In this case, Defendant, St. Agnes HealthCare, is not requesting the imposition of

one of the more severe sanctions for a Rule 37 violation. Rather, the Defendant seeks an order, directing the Plaintiff to reimburse Defendant for reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred because of Plaintiff's failure to appear for her duly noted deposition.

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court order the Plaintiff to pay the reasonable attorney fees and costs that Defendant has incurred.

Dated: March 12, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

KRUCHKO & FRIES

OF COUNSEL:

KRUCHKO & FRIES Suite 305 600 Washington Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21204 (410) 321-7310 By: <u>/s/</u>

Kathleen A. Talty Federal Bar No.: 022971 Page 5 of 6

Counsel for Defendant St. Agnes HealthCare, Inc

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

AUDREY WILSON Plaintiff, v.						*							
						*							
						* Civil Action No. CCB-02CV3341							
ST.	AGNE	S HEA	LTHC	ARE, I	NC. ET	TAL. *							
Defendants.						*							
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
						ORD	ER						
	Upo	n consi	deratior	of De	fendant'	's Motio	on for S	anction	s and P	laintiff'	s Oppo	sition	
there	eto, it is	hereby	y ORDI	ERED (on this		day of		, 2003 that Plaintiff pay				
the r	easonal	ole attor	rney fee	es and c	costs inc	urred b	y Defe	ndant be	ecause (of Plain	tiff's fa	ilure to)
appe	ar for h	er depo	osition.										
									•				
								. Blake					
						U.S.	Distric	t Court	Judge				